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Summary

For the fifth consecutive mandate, the Monitoring Group on Somalia and Eritrea was not permitted to visit Eritrea to meet with representatives of the Government or conduct investigations. Despite the call by the Security Council, in resolution 2317 (2016), for a review of sanctions on Eritrea and efforts by the Chair of the Security Council Committee pursuant to resolutions 751 (1992) and 1907 (2009) concerning Somalia and Eritrea to encourage the Government of Eritrea to engage constructively with both the Group and the Committee, the stalemate persists.

Throughout its current mandate, the Monitoring Group investigated allegations by a neighbouring Member State of support provided by Eritrea to Al-Shabaab in Somalia. Despite receiving some corroborating information from another regional Member State and regional administrations in Somalia, the Group has not been able to substantiate the allegations. As such, the Group has, for its fourth consecutive mandate, not found conclusive evidence of support provided by Eritrea to Al-Shabaab.

The Monitoring Group has also not found evidence of large shipments of weapons or ammunition to or from Eritrea in violation of the two-way arms embargo established pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 6 of resolution 1907 (2009).

The Monitoring Group found evidence of external support, in the form of both training and technical equipment, provided to, or intended for, the Eritrean military. The Group also found evidence indicating the potential military use of rotary-blade aircraft overhauled by Member States in 2016. In the light of the measures taken by the Government of Eritrea to conceal the military associations of the aircraft, however, the Group is not implying that authorities of these Member States violated the arms embargo.

The Monitoring Group maintains that the establishment and continuing expansion of a military base of the United Arab Emirates near the port city of Assab, which involves the transfer of military materiel to and exchange of military assistance with Eritrea, constitutes a violation of the arms embargo.

Eritrea continued to provide support to armed groups intent on destabilizing Ethiopia and Djibouti, including the Benishangul People’s Liberation Movement, the Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy (FRUD-Armé), Patriotic Ginbot Sebat (PG7) and the Tigray People’s Democratic Movement. While none of these groups poses a critical threat to either Djibouti or Ethiopia, the support of Eritrea for them continues to generate insecurity in the region and undermines the normalization of relations between regional Member States.

The impact of the Gulf crisis reverberated throughout the Horn of Africa and affected developments during the mandate, particularly those relating to the implementation of resolution 1862 (2009). On 13 June, the withdrawal of the observer forces deployed by Qatar on the Djibouti side of the Djibouti-Eritrea border led to an escalation of tensions between Djibouti and Eritrea. Evidence available to the Monitoring Group indicates ongoing activities on the Eritrean side of the border at Ras Doumeira.
The lack of clarity that has persisted on the mediation role of Qatar within the framework of the agreement of 6 June 2010 created new uncertainties for the implementation of resolution 1862 (2009). Brief progress in 2016 on the transfer of remaining prisoners of war from Eritrea to Djibouti has also stalled.

Since the adoption of resolution 2023 (2011), the Monitoring Group has documented a chronic lack of transparency in the mining sector, which has continued during the current mandate. The limited transparency that exists in the mining sector has been facilitated by the reporting of foreign corporations. The Group is consequently able to report that Eritrea is obtaining revenue from its mining sector, but is unable to prove that such funds are being used to finance specific violations of sanctions.

Given that the Monitoring Group has been unable to find conclusive evidence of Eritrean support for Al-Shabaab in Somalia for four consecutive mandates, the Group recommends that the Security Council consider disassociating the sanction regimes for Eritrea and Somalia. The Group recommends establishing a separate Security Council sanctions committee and a separate monitoring group on Eritrea.
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I. Introduction

A. Mandate

1. The mandate of the Monitoring Group on Somalia and Eritrea, as set out in paragraph 13 of Security Council resolution 2060 (2012) and updated in paragraph 41 of resolution 2093 (2013), was renewed in paragraph 38 of resolution 2317 (2016).

2. Pursuant to paragraph 40 of resolution 2317 (2016) and paragraph 13 (l) of resolution 2060 (2012), the Monitoring Group provided the Security Council, through the Security Council Committee pursuant to resolutions 751 (1992) and 1907 (2009) concerning Somalia and Eritrea, with a midterm update on 21 April 2017. The Group also submitted monthly progress reports to the Committee throughout its mandate.

3. With specific reference to Eritrea, the mandate of the Monitoring Group includes the following:

   (a) To investigate, in coordination with relevant international agencies, all activities, including in the financial, maritime and other sectors, which generate revenues used to commit violations of the Somalia and Eritrea arms embargoes (resolution 2060 (2012), para. 13 (e));

   (b) To investigate any means of transport, routes, seaports, airports and other facilities used in connection with violations of the Somalia and Eritrea arms embargoes (ibid., para. 13 (f));

   (c) To monitor the implementation of the Council’s call upon Eritrea to show transparency in its public finances, including through cooperation with the Monitoring Group, in order to demonstrate that the proceeds of mining activities are not being used to violate relevant resolutions (resolution 2023 (2011), paras. 12 and 16);

   (d) To monitor the implementation of the Council’s demand that all Member States, in particular Eritrea, cease arming, training and equipping armed groups and their members, including Harakat al-Shabaab al-Mujahidiin (Al-Shabaab), that aim to destabilize the region or incite violence and civil strife in Djibouti (resolution 1907 (2009), paras. 16 and 19).

4. The mandate of the Monitoring Group is also derived from the listing criteria established in paragraph 15 of resolution 1907 (2009). This includes monitoring and reporting regarding individuals and entities: (a) violating the arms embargo; (b) providing support from Eritrea to armed opposition groups that aim to destabilize the region; (c) obstructing implementation of resolution 1862 (2009) concerning Djibouti; (d) harbouring, financing, facilitating, supporting, organizing, training, or inciting individuals or groups to perpetrate acts of violence or terrorist acts against other States or their citizens in the region; and (e) obstructing the investigations or work of the Group.

5. Another component of the mandate concerns monitoring compliance with the travel ban and asset freeze established under paragraphs 10 and 13 of resolution 1907 (2009). However, there are no individuals or entities on the sanctions list established and maintained pursuant to Security Council resolutions 751 (1992) and 1907 (2009).\footnote{Available from www.un.org/sc/suborg/en/sanctions/751/materials.}

6. In the course of the investigations, members of the Monitoring Group travelled to Bahrain, Belgium, Côte d’Ivoire, Djibouti, Ethiopia, France, India, Iran (Islamic
Republic of), Kuwait, Qatar, Somalia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, the Sudan, Turkey, Uganda, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the United States of America.

7. The Monitoring Group was based in Nairobi and comprised the following experts: James Smith (coordinator), Jay Bahadur (armed groups), Charles Cater (natural resources), Deirdre Clancy (humanitarian), Tapani Holopainen (finance), Nazanine Moshiri (arms) and Richard Zabot (arms).

B. Methodology

8. The evidentiary standards and verification processes outlined in the previous reports of the Monitoring Group apply to the work conducted during the mandate under review.

9. The methodology used for the present report is as follows:

   (a) Collecting information on events and topics from multiple sources, where possible;

   (b) Collecting information from sources with first-hand knowledge of events, where possible;

   (c) Identifying consistency in patterns of information and comparing existing knowledge with new information and emerging trends;

   (d) Continuously factoring in the expertise and judgment of the relevant expert of the Monitoring Group and the collective assessment of the Group with regard to the credibility of information and the reliability of sources;

   (e) Obtaining physical, photographic, audio, video and/or documentary evidence in support of the information collected;

   (f) Analysing satellite imagery, where applicable.

10. In its investigations, the Monitoring Group conducted more than 120 meetings with a broad range of sources, including Member States, United Nations agencies, non-governmental organizations, the Eritrean diaspora, academics, journalists, the private sector, former members of armed groups and former officials of the Government of Eritrea. From these sources, the Group received witness testimony, photographic evidence and both confidential and open-source documentation.

11. The persistent refusal of Eritrea to allow the Monitoring Group to enter the country continues to impede the Group’s investigations.

12. Once again, and in conformity with past guidance provided by the Committee, the Monitoring Group endeavoured to include as much of the testimony and evidence as possible in its final report. However, General Assembly resolutions on the control and limitation of documentation, in particular resolutions 52/214, 53/208 and 59/265, necessitated the use of annexes, preventing some of the evidence from being translated. In addition, regulations exclude the insertion of maps, photographs and charts in the main report, and therefore these are also presented in the annexes.

13. In accordance with the Secretary-General’s bulletin on information sensitivity, classification and handling (ST/SGB/2007/6), the Monitoring Group has submitted to the Committee, together with the present report, several strictly confidential annexes containing information whose disclosure may be detrimental to the proper functioning of the United Nations or to the welfare and safety of its staff or third parties or may violate the Organization’s legal obligations. Those annexes will not be issued as a document of the Security Council.
II. Arms embargo

A. Flow of military equipment to or through Eritrea

Interdiction of shipment of blank-firing pistols in Kismayo, Somalia

14. On 23 January 2017, the Interim Jubba Administration and the African Union Mission in Somalia seized approximately 25,000 blank-firing pistols on board the vessel SJ African (International Maritime Organization (IMO) No. 8014954), which was docked in Kismayo Port in southern Somalia. On 14 February, the Monitoring Group inspected the container in Kismayo, analysed the consignment and interviewed the vessel’s crew. Email correspondence, port receipts and other documentation reviewed by the Group showed that the SJ African had docked at the port of Massawa, Eritrea, from 4 to 7 January 2016, with the consignment of blank-firing pistols on board (see annex 1). Red Sea Trading Corporation, an Eritrean State-owned import-export company based in Asmara was identified as the final consignee of the container.2

15. Given the ease with which blank-firing pistols can be converted to fire live ammunition (see annex 1.1, strictly confidential), the Monitoring Group considers the consignment to fall within the parameters of prohibited military materiel.3 The consignment entered and remained docked in Eritrean territorial waters, with the consignee as Red Sea Trading Corporation in Asmara, thereby constituting a violation of the arms embargo on Eritrea.

16. Voltran Av Silahlari İns San. ve Tic. Ltd Sti., a company based in Turkey, confirmed that it had manufactured and sold the blank-firing pistols (see annex 1).4

17. The Monitoring Group interviewed the owner of the consignment, a Sudanese national, who informed the Group that his legal name is Mohamed Ibrahim Ahmed, even though it appears as “Ahmet Hassan” on the packing list.5 Ahmed claimed that although the consignment had arrived at Massawa, it was intended to be transported by land to the Sudan for onward sale to retailers. According to Ahmed, he chose to offload the consignment at Massawa Port to avoid the greater expense and more complex import procedures of Port Sudan.6 The Group has been unable to corroborate that the intended destination of the blank-firing pistols was the Sudan.7 Both the owners of the company Voltran and the consignee have suggested that the

2 In its previous reports, the Monitoring Group has identified the Red Sea Trading Corporation as a company owned by the People’s Front for Democracy and Justice and operating as the primary procurement vehicle for the Government. The Group also documented the role of the company in trafficking weapons from the eastern part of the Sudan to Eritrea. See S/2015/802, paras. 39–40; S/2014/727, paras. 31–33; and S/2011/433, paras. 378 and 414.
3 Meeting with Matt Lewis, Director of Arquebus Solutions Ltd, Coventry, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, 16 May 2016. There are several other circumstances in which blank-firing pistols have been seized by authorities in Somalia and other countries subject to United Nations arms embargoes (see annex 1).
4 Meeting with the owners of Voltran Av Silahlari İns San. ve Tic. Ltd Sti. in Istanbul, Turkey, 22 June 2017.
5 Telephone interview with Mohamed Ibrahim Ahmed, 22 June 2017. The owners of Voltran showed the Monitoring Group the passport details of Mohamed Ibrahim Ahmed and noted the discrepancy in names, which may have been due to a clerical error.
6 Telephone interview with Mohamed Ibrahim Ahmed, 22 June 2017. Red Sea Trading Corporation appears on the cargo manifest, it was alleged, as it represents the unique operator to deal with unloading operations in Massawa. Ahmed also explained that the consignment was not offloaded because he was unable to be present in Massawa from 4 to 7 January 2016.
7 The Monitoring Group cannot rule out that the consignment was intended to be distributed in Eritrea or Somalia, even though the Group has found no evidence of an established market for blank-firing pistols in either country.
Sudan represents a large market for dealers of blank-firing weapons. The Group has confirmed the existence of multiple blank-firing weapons dealerships in Khartoum and elsewhere in the Sudan. However, the Government of the Sudan imposes restrictions on the number of blank-firing weapons that can be imported into the country and strict licensing laws on importers, which may explain the intended use of Massawa Port as a transit point for the consignment.

**Interdiction of military radios from the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea**

18. In late 2016, the Panel of Experts established pursuant to resolution 1874 (2009), which supports the Security Council Committee established pursuant to resolution 1718 (2006), informed the Monitoring Group of its investigation into a shipment of military encrypted radios originating from the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea and destined for an Asmara-based company, Eritech Computer Assembly & Communication Technology PLC (see annex 2).

19. The consignment consisted of 45 boxes of military-grade communications equipment, including high-frequency radios, crypto-speaker microphones and global positioning satellite (GPS) and high-frequency antennas and cables. Several boxes and articles bore the label of a Malaysia-based manufacturer, Global Communications Co. (Glocom), and matched items advertised by Glocom on its now defunct website. According to the Panel of Experts, Glocom is a front company for Pan Systems Pyongyang, a company based in the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea.

20. The Panel of Experts concluded that, given the involvement of the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea in the manufacture and shipment of the equipment, the consignment constituted a violation of the arms embargo established pursuant to paragraph 9 of resolution 1874 (2009) (see S/2017/150, paras. 72–87). Considering that the shipment consisted of military equipment bound for Eritrea, the Monitoring Group determines that it further constitutes a violation of the arms embargo on Eritrea established pursuant to paragraph 5 of resolution 1907 (2009). The arms embargoes on the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea and Eritrea are both two-way, covering imports and exports.

21. According to reports received by the Monitoring Group, Eritech Computer Assembly & Communication Technology is based at the Asha Golgol Military Technical Centre, situated approximately 10 km south-west of Asmara International Airport. In its report for 2013, the Group identified a facility at Asha Golgol “operated by the Eritrean Defence Forces that serves as a central workshop for the production, modification and repair of civilian and military and paramilitary equipment” (S/2013/440, para. 82). A compound within the Asha Golgol facility referred to as “E-tech” is identified in annex 2 of that report.

---

8 Meeting with the owners of Voltran in Istanbul, 22 June 2017. Telephone interview with Mohamed Ibrahim Ahmed, 22 June 2017. Also confirmed in a telephone interview with blank-firing arms trader in Khartoum, 30 June 2017.

9 The wholesale purchase price of each blank-firing pistol is $9. According to an arms trader in Khartoum, they can be sold locally for $130-$150, or $200 if they have been converted to fire live ammunition. The same trader told the Monitoring Group that he sells approximately 1,500 blank-firing arms every year. Telephone interviews, 30 June and 11 September 2017.

10 According to a blank-firing arms trader from Khartoum, without a licence to import blank-firing pistols into the Sudan, the container would have to be transported illegally across the land border between Eritrea and the Sudan.

B. Flow of military equipment from Eritrea

Allegations of shipments of weapons to Al-Shabaab in Somalia

22. On 8 November 2016, shortly after the submission of the Monitoring Group’s final reports for 2016 on Somalia and Eritrea (S/2016/919 and S/2016/920, respectively), a letter from the Permanent Mission of the Republic of Djibouti (S/AC.29/2016/NOTE.70) was addressed to the Security Council Committee pursuant to resolutions 751 (1992) and 1907 (2009). Attached to the letter was a report prepared by Djiboutian authorities stating that, on 15 October 2016, a maritime shipment of arms and ammunition, including AK-pattern rifles, mortars, rocket-propelled grenade launchers and PK machine guns, was sent from Eritrea to Somalia, destined for Al-Shabaab in Gal Hareri in the Galgadud region.\(^\text{12}\)

23. On 9 November 2016, the Monitoring Group wrote to the Permanent Mission of Djibouti, requesting additional information on the alleged shipment, including details on the vessel, its port of departure and the individuals involved. On 8 December 2016, the Group received a response stating that Mohamed Osman Mohamed “Gafanje” was the owner of the vessel involved and that the shipment had been received by Farhan Kahiye on behalf of Aboubaker Mohamed Ali, the “Chief of Defence” for Al-Shabaab.\(^\text{13}\)

24. Following a request by the Monitoring Group to discuss the shipment of weapons with Djiboutian authorities in person, the Group visited Djibouti from 20 to 23 February 2017. In a meeting with representatives of the Government of Djibouti on 21 February, the Group was informed that pertinent information relating to the shipment of weapons would be provided. The experts, however, left Djibouti having not received any further information from government interlocutors on the matter. On 4 April 2017, the Group conducted a follow-up mission to Djibouti. Despite further requests, the Group received no more information from the Government of Djibouti on the alleged shipment.

25. On 3 March 2017, during a mission to Addis Ababa, Ethiopian authorities provided the Monitoring Group with two reports, allegedly provided by a single source “within Al-Shabaab” and indicating that “Al-Shabaab is importing ammunitions by Volvo boats from Asmara to Hobyo in central regions of Somalia” and that “Al-Shabaab and Eritrea agreed to have representatives in their country to connect between the two parties to facilitate supports like providing military trainings to Al-Shabaab and other terrorist groups like ONLF [Ogaden National Liberation Front]”\(^\text{14}\). The vessel carrying ammunition for AK-pattern rifles and PK machine guns is alleged to have docked at Hobyo on or around 15 December 2016. Mohamed “Gafanje” is described as facilitating the import of the ammunition for Al-Shabaab. The report further states that “Gafanje” had also facilitated the import of ammunition in November, which had been transported overland by three trucks from Harardhere in the Mudug region to Gal Hareri in the Galgadud region, on behalf of Farhan Kahiye.

26. On 31 March 2017, the Monitoring Group sent correspondence to the Interim Galmudug Administration in Somalia requesting further information on Mohamed

\(^{12}\) Report on file with the Secretariat.

\(^{13}\) The criminal activities of Mohamed Osman Mohamed “Gafanje”, a former pirate kingpin, have been detailed by the Monitoring Group in its previous reports (S/2013/413, S/2014/726 and S/2015/801). In its report for 2016 on Somalia (S/2016/919, annex 1.4), the Group reported claims made by multiple sources that “Gafanje” had been responsible for arranging dhow transport on behalf of Al-Shabaab, though it had been unable to substantiate the claims. The Group is not familiar with either Farhan Kahiye or Aboubaker Mohamed Ali.

\(^{14}\) Reports on file with the Secretariat.
Osman Mohamed “Gafanje”, Farhan Kahiye and Aboubaker Mohamed Ali. In response, on 11 April 2017, the Director General of the Galmudug Presidency, Mohamed Abdi Adam, claimed that regional intelligence and security officers had confirmed that Al-Shabaab had received a shipment of weapons with the help of “Gafanje” and that Farhan Kahiye was well known to them, although his last known whereabouts were in Barawe in the Lower Shabelle region.

27. On 15 June 2017, in Djibouti, the Monitoring Group was provided with a further report by Djiboutian authorities, claiming intelligence that two Eritrean officers, General Te’ame and Colonel Moussa, had departed for Harardhere, Somalia, via Yemen, at the beginning of June and were due to return in July 2017. According to the report, the two officers were greeted by three leaders of Al-Shabaab and subsequently visited Al-Shabaab training camps in Hiran, the Lower Shabelle region and the Middle Shabelle region, and promised weapons to the armed group.

28. According to the same report, on 10 June 2017, the two officers also visited a training camp hosting 500 Ogaden National Liberation Front (ONLF) and Oromo Liberation Front (OLF) fighters in the Hiran region. The Monitoring Group sent correspondence to the Federal Government of Somalia and to the Interim HirShabelle Administration, seeking confirmation of the presence of Eritrean officers in Somalia and the presence of ONLF or OLF training camps in Somalia. On 18 August 2017, the Group received a response from the Permanent Mission of Somalia to the United Nations claiming that the Federal Government was not aware of the presence of ONLF or OLF “fighters or camps in Somalia”. At the time of writing, the Group had not received a response from the Interim HirShabelle Administration.

29. On 6 November 2016, the Government of Eritrea released a press statement via the website of the Ministry of Information (see www.shabait.com), in which it categorically denied sending arms to Somalia. The Permanent Mission of Eritrea reiterated its denial of any engagement with Al-Shabaab and stated its support for the newly elected federal administration in Somalia during a videoconference with the Monitoring Group, held under the auspices of the Chair of the Committee on 11 September 2017.

30. Despite undertaking several investigative missions, engaging with authorities from regional Member States and engaging with sources in Somalia, during the current mandate the Monitoring Group has not been able to independently corroborate the information provided to it regarding shipments of weapons and ammunition from Eritrea to Al-Shabaab.

15 The Monitoring Group has reported previously on the activities of Brigadier General Te’ame Goitom Kinfu (also known as Wedi Meqelle) in relation to support for armed groups in, and violations of the arms embargo on, Somalia (S/2008/769, para. 116; and S/2010/91, para. 60). He has been referred to as the chief of Eritrean external intelligence operations in the Horn of Africa (S/2011/433, para. 262 (a)). The Group described Colonel Tewelde Habte Negash (also known as “Musa”, “Amanuel Kidane” and “Wedi Kidane”) as working closely with Te’ame Goitom Kinfu and being responsible for training of and support to Somali armed opposition groups (S/2011/433, para. 262 (c)). Colonel Negash “Mussa” was subsequently described as a regional intelligence officer (S/2014/727, para. 60).

16 Report on file with the Secretariat.


C. Support provided to the Eritrean armed forces

Zlín Avion pilot training and support in Asmara

31. On the basis of interviews with Eritrean defectors, supported by documentary and photographic evidence, the Monitoring Group has determined that Zlín Avion Service s.r.o., a company based in Otrokovice, Czechia, supplied aircraft parts and training to the Eritrean air force, in violation of the arms embargo on Eritrea. At the time of writing, the Eritrean air force possessed at least four Zlín model aircraft, which were used for training combat pilots.

32. The Monitoring Group has obtained photographs showing that two Zlín Avion employees, Blahomír Smetana, a flight instructor, and Jiří Neubauer, also known as “Mr. George”, a technician, were present in Asmara in May 2016. In one photograph, both individuals are shown posing with Major Isaias Berhane, commander of the Eritrean air force training squadron, and Yemane Abra, senior ground technician for the Eritrean air force (see annex 3, strictly confidential).

33. Certificates bearing the Zlín Avion logo and Smetana’s signature (see annex 3, strictly confidential) attest that the company provided training on the operation of Zlín-143 and Zlín-242 aircraft to members of the Eritrean air force. In addition, eyewitnesses reported to the Monitoring Group that rotating groups of up to six Zlín Avion personnel were present in Asmara in late 2015 and in 2016 to provide parts and servicing to Zlín-143 and Zlín-242 aircraft belonging to the Eritrean air force.

34. Eritrean defectors interviewed by the Monitoring Group also reported that another Czech national, Richard Ponižil, was present in Asmara in April 2016, working as a test pilot for Zlín Avion, even though the business card Ponižil presented in Asmara identifies him as employed by Linak C&S s.r.o., a company based in Majetín, Czechia (see annex 3, strictly confidential). When contacted by the Group, Ponižil advised the Monitoring Group to address all inquiries on the matter directly to Zlín Avion.19

35. On 21 February 2017, in response to the official correspondence sent by the Monitoring Group, a representative of Zlín Avion denied that the company had ever entered into any contractual agreement with the Government of Eritrea or that its personnel had ever been present in the country.

Eritrean pilot and naval training in the United Arab Emirates

36. The Monitoring Group has gathered testimonies and reviewed corroborating evidence demonstrating that Eritrean air force cadets received training at the Khalifa Bin Zayed Air College and that Eritrean navy cadets received training at the Rashid Bin Saeed Al Maktoum Naval College, both in Abu Dhabi, between early 2012 and late 2015. Upon completion of the training, in December 2015, several cadets fled to a third country where they registered as refugees with the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).

37. Three of the Eritrean air force cadets and two of the navy cadets provided testimonies to the Monitoring Group during the present mandate.20 They claimed to have been part of a class of 20 cadets sent to the United Arab Emirates to receive training, with six students sent to the Khalifa Bin Zayed Air College, seven sent to the Rashid Bin Saeed Al Maktoum Naval College and seven others sent for engineering training at various institutions. Before departing Eritrea, the cadets

---

20 Names and Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) registration numbers on file with the Secretariat.
claimed to have received instructions from a senior official of the Government of Eritrea not to engage with Eritreans or Ethiopians in the United Arab Emirates and not to share details of their assignment with their classmates.

38. While at the Khalifa Bin Zayed Air College, the Eritrean air force cadets claimed to have received combat training in Grob G 115 and Pilatus PC-7 training aircraft. While at Rashid Bin Saeed Al Maktoum Naval College, the Eritrean navy cadets were taught the basics of vessel manoeuvring and navigation and also received combat training.

39. According to the cadets, the costs of their education, accommodation and general living expenses were covered by authorities of the United Arab Emirates. Annex 4 contains redacted copies of the United Arab Emirates residence visa of one of the cadets, sponsored by the Civil Affairs Department of the United Arab Emirates (figure 1), a certificate issued to a cadet by the Khalifa Bin Zayed Air College (figure 2) and a certificate issued to a cadet by the Rashid Bin Saeed Al Maktoum Naval College (figure 3).

An image published on both pro- and anti-Government open-source platforms in mid-2016 (and since removed from most) shows two navy and four air force cadets upon completion of their training holding an Eritrean flag in front of a Boeing C-17A Globemaster III of the United Arab Emirates air force (figure 4).

D. Overhaul of equipment potentially used by the Eritrean military

Agusta Bell helicopter overhauls in Italy

40. During the mandate, the Monitoring Group confirmed that two Eritrean Agusta Bell AB 412 EP helicopters were overhauled, between November 2015 and April 2017, by an Italian helicopter service company, Airgreen S.R.L., based in Turin, Italy.

41. The two helicopters were shipped from Asmara to Turin on 2 November 2015 and were subsequently returned to Asmara following extensive servicing on 16 August 2016. Airgreen further provided spare parts and technical assistance for the helicopters beyond August 2016 and, at the time of writing, remained in a contractual agreement with the Government of Eritrea for continual servicing throughout a warranty period.

42. Airgreen had entered into the servicing agreement with Captain Yonas Tesfai Tedla, purportedly representing the Eritrean Ministry of Transport and Communications. However, the Monitoring Group has reviewed evidence and received multiple consistent reports that Yonas serves as Head of Finance and Procurement for the Eritrean air force, not as a representative of the Ministry of Transport.

43. On 4 July 2016, nine months after Airgreen had entered into a contract with the Ministry of Transport and Communications represented by Yonas, the Eritrean Ministry of Foreign Affairs wrote to the Embassy of Italy in Asmara explaining that five individuals, including Yonas “from the Eritrean Air Force” were “travelling to Rome, Italy on a work visit to the Eritrean Embassy in Rome” (see annex 5).

---

21 Non-redacted copies on file with the Secretariat.
22 In the course of the investigations, the issue of military-related equipment and materiel that may be used for both military and civilian purposes was raised on several occasions by various stakeholders. The Monitoring Group considers such equipment and materiel to include military vehicles and equipment, paramilitary equipment and spare parts for the aforementioned (resolution 1907 (2009), para. 5) if it has intrinsic military utility or if evidence indicates that the actual end use has intrinsic military utility.
44. Multiple defectors from the Eritrean air force were shown copies of Yonas’s passport photographs, which the Italian authorities had provided to the Monitoring Group. All positively identified Yonas and his position within the Eritrean air force at the time of their defections.

45. Airgreen was provided with documentation indicating that the helicopters registration certificates were issued by the Eritrean Civil Aviation Authority and that they were included in the Eritrean civil aircraft register. However, according to a defence analysis publication, the Eritrean air force is in possession of four Agusta Bell 412 helicopters used for logistical purposes by No. 7 Squadron. Images of the helicopters taken upon arrival at the Airgreen servicing centre further indicate — given their apparent military colouration — that, at a minimum, they had been used previously for military purposes (see annex 5).

46. Following their overhaul, the helicopters were painted white. Satellite imagery of Asmara International Airport from 28 October 2016 shows the two helicopters parked on the military apron adjacent to the Eritrean air force base at the airport (see annex 5).

47. The Monitoring Group acknowledges the full cooperation extended by the Permanent Mission of Italy to the United Nations and Airgreen throughout the investigation.  

**Mi-17 helicopter overhaul in the Russian Federation**

48. Over the course of the mandate, the Monitoring Group confirmed that a Mi-171E helicopter was overhauled in April 2016 by Saint Petersburg Aviation Repair Company (SPARC), a company based in the Russian Federation. The helicopter was transported from Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation, to Asmara on 25 April. According to a defence analysis publication, the Eritrean air force is in possession of six Mi-17 helicopters used for logistical purposes by No. 3 Squadron.

49. On 15 May 2017, the Monitoring Group wrote to the Permanent Mission of the Russian Federation to the United Nations seeking confirmation of the overhaul of the helicopter. On 8 June, the Mission responded, confirming that SPARC had carried out an overhaul of a Mi-17 helicopter, which had subsequently been transferred to the consignee, the Ministry of Transport and Communications of Eritrea.

50. The correspondence also confirmed that “[t]he consignee submitted an end-user certificate in which the authorized Eritrean body undertook not to use the helicopter after the overhaul ‘in military actions both within the country and in contested or adjacent territories but to use it only for such transport purposes as delivery of food or people to the hard-to-get areas’.” The correspondence further confirmed that the helicopter had originally been manufactured in 2003 for civilian purposes without elements of armament.

51. Following the overhaul, the helicopter was painted white. Satellite imagery of Asmara International Airport appears to show the helicopter parked on the military apron.

---


24 In correspondence sent by the Permanent Mission of Italy to the United Nations to the Monitoring Group, it was stated that: “Italy brings to your attention that the transport of the two aircrafts had undergone customs inspections pursuant to national and European Union regulations, in turn compliant with the specific provisions of the UN Security Council’s sanctions against Somalia and Eritrea. These inspections found that the helicopters were not included in the European Union military equipment list”.

apron adjacent to the Eritrean air force base at the airport (see annex 6). Satellite imagery further appears to show the same helicopter at the Sawa Military Academy in the Gash Barka region on 9 September 2016 (see annex 6).

52. The imagery of what appears to be the overhauled Mi-17 helicopter at a military base in western Eritrea lends weight to testimonies collected from defectors from the Eritrean air force that all helicopters operated by the Government of Eritrea are used — although not necessarily exclusively — by the Eritrean air force.

53. The Monitoring Group acknowledges the cooperation of the Permanent Mission of the Russian Federation to the United Nations during the investigation.

E. Expansion of the military base of the United Arab Emirates in Assab, Eritrea

54. In line with earlier reporting by the Monitoring Group (see S/2016/920, paras. 28–40), satellite imagery shows the further expansion of the military base of the United Arab Emirates in Assab, Eritrea, since November 2016 (see annex 7). Construction of a permanent port facility adjacent to the airport has continued. As at 14 September 2017, construction of the berthing area of the port, as well as on-land port facilities, appeared to be almost complete and multiple vessels were docked at the facilities.

55. Satellite imagery captured during the mandate further demonstrates the continuing presence of tanks and artillery of the United Arab Emirates on a site between the airport and the port facilities, including what appear to be multiple AMX Leclerc main battle tanks. Multiple fixed-wing and rotary-wing aircraft, for both combat and logistical purposes, appear to be operating from the airport, including several Dassault Mirage 2000 fighter aircraft. The construction of permanent infrastructure and facilities around the airport apron and the runway to the south of the airport has also continued.

56. Most naval vessels bearing the flag of the United Arab Emirates operating from Assab port stopped transmitting automatic identification system (AIS) signals in mid-October 2016. This was probably in response to an attack on the hybrid catamaran HSV-2 Swift 1 (IMO: 9283928), owned by the United Arab Emirates, in the Bab al-Mandab strait on 1 October 2016 (see S/2017/81, annex 13). Satellite imagery shows increased activity at Assab port since late 2016, with a significantly greater presence of naval vessels, including multiple landing craft, fast attack craft and patrol craft (see annex 7).

57. The terms of the agreement between the Government of Eritrea and the United Arab Emirates remain unclear. However, in a press release on 14 December 2016, the Ministry of Information of Eritrea declared that Al-Mayadeen, an Arabic-language television station, had “wrongly assert[ed]” that the “United Arab Emirates has acquired a 30-year lease for a military base in the Port of Assab”. The statement described the claim as “wild and speculative”.


58. The Monitoring Group maintains that the current terms of the arms embargo do not allow for continuing military activities by Member States involving the transfer of military materiel, assistance, personnel and training to or from Eritrean territory, nor are they covered under the terms of possible exemptions thereto.

III. Support for armed groups in the region

59. In paragraph 16 of resolution 1907 (2009), the Security Council demanded that all Member States, in particular Eritrea, cease arming, training and equipping armed groups, including Al-Shabaab, that aimed to destabilize the region or incite violence and civil strife in Djibouti.

60. During the present mandate, the Monitoring Group found evidence that Eritrea was continuing to provide active support to Patriotic Ginbot Sebat (PG7) and the Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy (FRUD-Armé), two groups engaged in armed violence in Ethiopia and Djibouti, and had tried to restrict the movements of the Benishangul People’s Liberation Movement (BPLM) and the Tigray People’s Democratic Movement (TPDM), two other anti-Ethiopian armed groups that had attempted to disband or partly disband.

61. The support provided by Eritrea to these armed groups, in violation of paragraph 16 of resolution 1907 (2009), included:

   (a) Providing Eritrean territory for training of personnel in specialized camps, including making Eritrean military personnel available to conduct training and motivational activities;

   (b) Availing of Eritrean territory for the launching of attacks on Djibouti and Ethiopia, in addition to military recruitment and provisioning campaigns;

   (c) Supplying weapons and ammunition, and other military materiel (uniform elements, radios), logistics (transport and vehicles) and other support (food rations, medical care);

   (d) Permitting weapons and ammunition to move in and out of Eritrea, also in violation of paragraph 6 of resolution 1907 (2009).

62. The Monitoring Group’s investigations into these armed groups were largely based on interviews with former members, including former leaders, who had escaped or defected from Eritrea.

---

28 The activities of FRUD-Armé, supported by Eritrea, also undermined the normalization of relations between Djibouti and Eritrea, thus obstructing the implementation of resolution 1862 (2009), in violation of paragraph 15 (c) of resolution 1907 (2009).
Anti-Eritrean armed groups

63. The Monitoring Group has reviewed reports of armed groups hosted in neighbouring countries intent on destabilizing Eritrea, including:
   (a) Democratic Movement for the Liberation of Eritrean Kunama;
   (b) Democratic Movement of Eritrean Saho;
   (c) Eritrean National Salvation Front;
   (d) Red Sea Afar Democratic Organization.29

64. Notwithstanding the existence of these groups — and evidence of their continuing presence in neighbouring Member States near the Eritrean border — the Monitoring Group is not aware of any attacks conducted by them in Eritrea. The Monitoring Group sought information on these groups from the Government of Eritrea, but did not receive a substantive response. The Group was also unable to visit Eritrea, where it may have been possible to interview former members of the groups.

A. Patriotic Ginbot Sebat30

65. The Monitoring Group first provided information on the anti-Ethiopian armed group Ginbot Sebat in its report for 2014 (S/2014/727, paras. 78–86), followed by updates in 2015 and 2016 (S/2015/802, paras. 58 and 59, and S/2016/920, paras. 61-70, respectively). In its report for 2016, the Group noted that the group had renamed itself Arbegnoch Ginbot Sebat, or Patriotic Ginbot Sebat (PG7), following its merger with the Ethiopian People’s Patriotic Front in January 2015.

66. The interviews conducted by the Monitoring Group with defectors from and detained former members of PG7, the analysis of captured PG7 weaponry, the analysis of satellite imagery and the analysis of video footage shared by a former senior member of PG7 who defected to Ethiopia in mid-2017 furnished compelling evidence that the Government of Eritrea is continuing to provide significant material and logistical support to the group. The Monitoring Group confirmed the existence and location of PG7 training camps and other installations in Eritrea. The most prominent PG7 camp remains within the greater Harena training camp, east of the town of Golij in the Gash Barka region (see annex 8).

Incursion into Ethiopia in October and November 2016

67. In late October and early November 2016, a total of 111 PG7 fighters infiltrated the northern Tigray region of Ethiopia in a two-part raid.31 Violent engagements with Ethiopian security forces took place on 29 October and 7 November, resulting in the capture or death of most of the PG7 fighters. While the


30 During its mandate, the Monitoring Group interviewed: two former members who had defected from PG7 in Humera, in the Tigray region of Ethiopia, on 28 February 2017; six detained, and subsequently released, former members of PG7 in Bahar Dar, in the Amhara region of Ethiopia, on 2 March; and three former members who had defected from PG7 in Addis Ababa on 18 August.

31 The first PG7 contingent, which departed from Eritrea on 25 October, consisted of 30 fighters, including 17 local guides familiar with the Ethiopian countryside. The second wave comprised 81 fighters and departed on 3 November, intending to link up with the first group, unaware that it had already been engaged and neutralized by Ethiopian security forces.
exact aim of the incursion was difficult to ascertain, interviews conducted by the Monitoring Group indicated that its goal was probably either to establish a multi-base guerrilla operation in northern Ethiopia or to undermine the state of emergency that had been declared by the Government of Ethiopia in October in response to widespread protests.32

68. Each former PG7 combatant interviewed by the Monitoring Group independently reported the presence of Eritrean military officers at their training camps in Eritrea, most notably Colonel Fitsum Yishak.33 Several interviewees gave a consistent account of Colonel Fitsum delivering a speech to a PG7 contingent, in which he wished them well on their mission and promised Eritrean military reinforcements should it be successful.34 The combatants also consistently reported the presence of General Andebrehan Berhe, also known as Wodi Berhe, whom they described as the head of external operations for the Eritrean army, and Colonel Fitsum’s direct superior.35 All but two detained fighters also noted the presence of another Eritrean military officer at the Harena camp, Captain Dawitt Gabreyesus, and three detainees correctly identified him from a photograph. Captain Dawitt was previously described by the Monitoring Group in its report for 2014 as the officer in charge of running the training and day-to-day operations of Ginbot Sebat (S/2014/727, para. 80).

69. The majority of interviewees also described how Eritrean troops had guided them to the Tekezé River during the last stage of their crossing from Eritrea into Ethiopia.36 Video footage reviewed by the Monitoring Group — with supporting testimony from two PG7 fighters who had defected in June 2017 and who feature in the footage in question — shows the PG7 fighters boarding a lorry near the Harena training camp and, subsequently, two Eritrean soldiers accompanying the fighters for the final leg of the journey on foot (see annex 9).

Captured weaponry

70. PG7 fighters interviewed by the Monitoring Group indicated that their weapons had been supplied by Eritrean military officials,37 an assertion corroborated by the inspections conducted by the Monitoring Group of captured weaponry near Humera, Ethiopia, on 28 February 2017. Among the significant volume of weaponry documented by the Group, which included more than 40 AK-pattern assault rifles, was a PSL 7.62x54-mm sniper rifle manufactured in Romania (see annex 9). According to information provided to the Group by the Government of Romania, the rifle had been exported to the Ministry of Defence of Eritrea in June 2004 (see annex 9).

71. Also among the weaponry inspected by the Monitoring Group were four Type 69 40-mm high-explosive anti-tank (HEAT) rocket-propelled grenade rounds, two of which bore the lot numbers 2-92-73 and 7-91-93 (see annex 9).38 Type 69 warheads with both of these lot numbers had been seized from ONLF, following an

32 Interviews, conducted under the auspices of the Ethiopian authorities, with PG7 defectors and detainees in Humera and Bahar Dar, Ethiopia, on 28 February and 2 March 2017.
33 Colonel Fitsum Yishak, also known as “Lenin”, was first mentioned by the Monitoring Group in its report for 2011 (S/2011/433) as the deputy for external operations of Brigadier General Tè’ame Goitom. Further details on Colonel Fitsum’s engagement with regional armed opposition groups can be found in S/2012/545, S/2014/727, S/2015/802 and S/2016/920.
34 Interviews with PG7 defectors and detainees in Humera and Bahar Dar, Ethiopia, on 28 February and 2 March 2017.
35 Ibid.
36 Ibid.
37 Ibid.
38 In the lot number of a Type 69 round, such as “2-92-73”, the first number signifies the batch number, the second is the year of production and the third is the factory code.
attempted raid in September 2010 into Ethiopia via the territory of Somaliland (see S/2011/433, annex 8.3). In addition, Type 69 rounds bearing the lot number 2-92-73 were among the materiel surrendered by the South Sudan Democratic Movement/Army, following the group’s defection to the Government of South Sudan in February 2012 (see annex 9). In both cases, evidence suggests that the Government of Eritrea supplied the ammunition to the respective militant groups. The Monitoring Group sent a tracing request on the Type 69 warheads to the relevant Member State on 10 March 2017 (S/AC.29/2017/SEM/OC.32), but did not receive any substantive information in response. The Group was therefore unable to ascertain whether the Type 69 rounds had originally been supplied to the Government of Eritrea.

72. The Monitoring Group also documented 23 AK-pattern rifles with their serial numbers filed off the receivers, presumably in order to conceal their origin (see annex 9). Since 2011, Conflict Armament Research, an organization based in the United Kingdom, has identified a pattern throughout Africa of rifles with their markings removed, originating exclusively in the Sudan. For instance, rifles similar in appearance to those inspected by the Group, and also with their serial numbers removed, were seized from the South Sudan Democratic Movement/Army prior to September 2012 (see annex 9). One plausible scenario, therefore, is that the assault rifles captured from PG7 had originally been supplied from the Sudan. The Group was, however, unable to confirm the supply chain.

Eritrean financing of PG7

73. On 18 August 2017, the Monitoring Group interviewed a former financial administrator for PG7, T, who had defected to Ethiopia six weeks previously.39 T informed the Monitoring Group that since the unification between the Ethiopian People’s Patriotic Front and Ginbot Sebat in January 2015, the Government of Eritrea has provided monthly payments of 190,000 nakfa (approximately $12,500) to cover reimbursements to both officers and rank-and-file fighters in Eritrea.40

B. Benishangul People’s Liberation Movement

74. The Monitoring Group previously reported on the incorporation of the Benishangul People’s Liberation Movement (BPLM) into the Peoples’ Alliance for Freedom and Democracy in Asmara on 25 March 2016 (S/2016/920, para. 71). The Group has not otherwise previously reported on support provided by Eritrea to BPLM, an armed Ethiopian opposition group from the Benishangul Gumuz region in north-western Ethiopia.

75. On 11 January 2017, following a prolonged period of inactivity, Ethiopian anti-government media sources reported an attack by BPLM in the Benishangul Gumuz region, in which 13 Ethiopian soldiers were killed and a further 8 were wounded.41 In early March 2017, Ethiopian officials announced that Ethiopian soldiers had clashed with BPLM fighters near Asossa, the capital of the Benishangul

39 T’s identity has been withheld for his security.
40 T’s membership of PG7 is confirmed by his presence in video footage of PG7 training that took place in late 2016 in Harena, Eritrea.
Gumuz region, and the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam, and that BPLM was receiving support from Eritrea.\(^{42}\)

76. On 17 and 19 August 2017, the Monitoring Group interviewed the former leader of BPLM, Abdulwahab Mehadi Issa, in Addis Ababa, following the group’s re-engagement with the Government of Ethiopia in June 2017. Testimony provided by Abdulwahab, demonstrating his knowledge of the geography and topography of the Harena training camp, combined with photographic and documentary evidence, indicates that BPLM had been hosted by and was receiving support from the Government of Eritrea.\(^{43}\)

**Defection of BPLM from Eritrea to Ethiopia**

77. Abdulwahab informed the Monitoring Group that BPLM had decided to leave Eritrea after 10 years of rebel activities and return to Ethiopia owing to a disagreement with the Government of Eritrea regarding its agenda in Ethiopia. While BPLM sought to promote development in the Benishangul Gumuz region in western Ethiopia, the Government of Eritrea sought destabilization throughout Ethiopia. Abdulwahab explained that BPLM had encountered growing pressure to attack infrastructure in Ethiopia, and particularly the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam in the Benishangul Gumuz region, near the border with the Sudan.

78. As divisions between BPLM and the Government of Eritrea grew, Abdulwahab claimed to have convened a meeting with his group, at which it was decided to re-engage with the Government of Ethiopia. Abdulwahab subsequently travelled to Khartoum, where he met with Ethiopian authorities at the Ethiopian Embassy on 29 June 2016. On 30 June, according to Abdulwahab, all BPLM fighters in Eritrea made their way to the border with the Sudan — losing four fighters on the way in confrontations with the Eritrean military — and arrived in Al-Gedaref, Sudan, on 1 July. The BPLM fighters crossed the border into the Sudan while still in possession of a Toyota Land Cruiser provided by the Eritrean authorities (vehicle registration ER1A 1520), which was later driven to Asossa, where, at the time of writing, it remained. Images of the vehicle, its Eritrean Land Transport Authority Non-Commercial Vehicle Title Certificate, and BPLM fighters upon their return to Ethiopia are contained in annex 10.

79. At the time of its departure from Eritrea, according to Abdulwahab, BPLM was composed of approximately 90 fighters.

**Additional evidence of BPLM presence in Eritrea**

80. Abdulwahab provided detailed information on the location, geography and topography of the BPLM offices, camps and training facilities in Eritrea, namely the Harena training area in the Gash Barka region, near the town of Haykota in the Gash Barka region, and in Asmara. The details provided by Abdulwahab on the Harena training area corresponded accurately with satellite imagery of the area (see annex 8).

81. Abdulwahab detailed the continuing role played by Colonel Fitsum Isaak “Lenin” and Captain Dawitt Gebreyesus in the training and arming of anti-Ethiopian armed groups in western Eritrea, including BPLM, with legacy assault rifles, sniper rifles, rocket-propelled grenade launchers and explosives. He was also able to locate Colonel Fitsum’s office in the Harena training area using

---


\(^{43}\) The interviews were conducted with the assistance of an interpreter.
satellite imagery (see annex 8). According to Abdulwahab, Colonel Fitsum was subordinate to the Head of National Security, Brigadier General Abraha Kassa, who travelled to Harena training camp to visit the groups periodically.  

82. Copies of an identity card provided by the Government of Eritrea and identifying Abdulwahab as a leading member of BPLM can also be found in annex 10.

C. Tigray People’s Democratic Movement

83. The Tigray People’s Democratic Movement (TPDM), an anti-Ethiopian armed group, often referred to by its Tigrinya acronym, “Demhit”, was first mentioned by the Monitoring Group with reference to receiving Eritrean State support in the report for 2011 (S/2011/433, annex 8.1). In its previous report, the Monitoring Group provided testimony from the former chairman of TPDM, Mola Asgedom, who defected from Eritrea to Ethiopia with approximately 800 fighters in September 2015 (S/2016/920, paras. 54–57).

84. According to interviews conducted by the Monitoring Group, since the defection of Mola Asgedom, what remains of TPDM is increasingly fractured and at odds with the Government of Eritrea. Evidence gathered from testimonies from two former fighters who defected in July 2017, indicates that at the time of their defection, the Eritrean military prioritized keeping remaining members of TPDM from fleeing Eritrea over supporting TPDM efforts to conduct attacks in Ethiopia.

Interview with Mola Asgedom

85. The Monitoring Group interviewed the former leader of TPDM, Mola Asgedom, on 3 March 2017 in Addis Ababa, with interpretation provided by a representative of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ethiopia. Mola informed the Group that an estimated 600 fighters in total remained in TPDM in Eritrea at the time. Mola indicated that there had been an estimated 1,700 TPDM fighters in total before his defection, but that fighters had continued to defect since then and had subsequently updated him on the status of the forces remaining in Eritrea.

86. Mola claimed that TPDM had agreed as a group to leave Eritrea and return to Ethiopia, but that news of the fighters’ impending return had been leaked to the Eritrean armed forces, who had been able to block many of them before they could reach the Eritrean border town of Omhajer in the Gash Barka region. The remaining fighters were subsequently disarmed and forced into a camp in the vicinity of Haykota and Adi Keshi, west of the town of Barentu in the Gash Barka region. According to Mola, since September 2015, contingents of TPDM have been dispersed to various camps throughout western Eritrea and rearmed by the Eritrean armed forces, and their training has recommenced.

87. Asked about the current leadership of TPDM, Mola informed the Monitoring Group that the former Deputy Chairman, Mekonen Tesfay, had assumed the position of chairman of the movement, with Vibrah Berehe serving as deputy chairman, and Gidey Assefa serving as secretary. According to Mola, TPDM also continued to maintain an office in Asmara, headed by Adegani Gebreselassie, from which media operations were conducted.

44 The Monitoring Group first mentioned Abraha Kassa in its report for 2014 (S/2014/727, para. 60), describing him as the head of national intelligence in Eritrea, and referred to him subsequently in its report for 2015 (S/2015/802, para. 46).

45 Further details on TPDM can be found in S/2012/545, paras. 51–52; S/2014/727, paras. 70–77; S/2015/802, paras. 50–57; and most recently in S/2016/920, paras. 53–60.
88. With regard to Eritrean support for the remaining TPDM forces, Mola informed the Monitoring Group that Colonel Fitsum Yishak continued to serve as coordinator for all regional armed opposition groups in western Eritrea, including TPDM.

**Interview with other TPDM defectors**

89. On 18 August 2017, the Monitoring Group interviewed two former TPDM combatants, T and Z, who claimed that they had fled to Ethiopia via the Sudan from a camp near Fanko, Eritrea, in late July 2017.46

90. Following the defection of Mola Asgedom, T and Z described how members of TPDM remaining in Eritrea had initially been disarmed and divided into four units. These groups were subsequently disbursed to different regions of the country, where they were slowly retrained and rearmed as they regained the trust of the Government of Eritrea.

91. According to T and Z, in early May 2017, another TPDM officer, Solomon Tesfay, also known as Akabi Zela, attempted to escape from Eritrea. When caught by the Eritrean military, Solomon killed six Eritrean soldiers before committing suicide. Three of the four TPDM units were subsequently disarmed again and sent to an area near Fanko in the Gash Barka region, while the fourth unit remained at a camp a short distance west of the town of Haykota, on the road between Barentu and Teseney (see annex 8).

92. According to T and Z, as at July 2017, TPDM was divided between a faction loyal to Chairman Mekonen Tesfay and Secretary Gidey Assefa that was increasingly at odds with the Government of Eritrea, and a second group, led by Deputy Chairman Yibrah Berehe, that maintained closer ties to the Government of Eritrea. Collectively, the groups had between 600 and 700 members, though a significant proportion of these were being detained by the Eritrean military, rather than serving as active fighters.

**D. Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy**47

93. FRUD-Armé has evolved through various manifestations and phases of activity since it was founded in 1991 (see, for example, S/2016/920, paras. 72–82, and S/2011/433, paras. 269–274). The predominantly Afar-based movement, currently headed by Mohamed Kaddamy, alleges exclusion of the Afar community from political participation and development in Djibouti and human rights violations conducted against the community by the Djiboutian armed forces.48

---

46 Interpretation was provided by a third party. As requested by the interviewees, their names have been kept confidential.

47 Between February and June 2017, the Monitoring Group interviewed, and subsequently assessed the testimonies of, 15 former fighters associated with FRUD-Armé (11 Djiboutian and 4 Ethiopian) who claimed to have been recruited or forcibly abducted from northern Djibouti and taken to Eritrea between 2009 and 2015 for training and equipping. They were subsequently deployed to conduct armed activities in Djibouti between 2010 and 2017. Corroborating information was obtained through interviews with a former fighter associated with a second armed group supported by Eritrea; the review of detailed testimonies of four former Eritrean military personnel based at Dadato and Anda’ali camps; interviews with Djiboutian military, security and civilian officials, staff of international organizations and embassies present in Djibouti; the examination of incident photographs, weapons and other equipment surrendered by returning fighters; and the review of video footage.

48 According to four interviewees, Kaddamy, who resides in France, last visited the Anda’ali training camp in Eritrea with Abdouldakir Aden in March 2016.

95. With the breakdown of relations between Eritrea and Djibouti in 2008, however, Eritrea initiated a programme of sustained support for FRUD-Armé and a third phase of conflict ensued. In 2012, following a brief internal leadership crisis, Abdoukadir Aden “Redo” assumed military command of the group in the region — with the backing of Mohamed Kaddamy and the Eritrean military — with Assa Abdo, also known as Abdo Omar “Daoud” and Assa “Baxa”, serving as his deputy.

96. During the present mandate, FRUD-Armé was based primarily near the Anda’ali training camp in the Southern Red Sea region of Eritrea, from where it conducted regular violent raids on villages in northern Djibouti and attacks on the Djiboutian security forces.

FRUD-Armé recruitment raids in Djibouti

97. All 15 former fighters interviewed by the Monitoring Group claimed to have been either voluntarily recruited or forcibly abducted from Djibouti and taken across the border at Sasso and Dad’atto to Anda’ali military base to commence their training. Four former fighters described how they had subsequently participated in violent cross-border abduction raids, including abductions of children, former deserters and civilians accused of collaboration with the Djiboutian armed forces.

98. Although recruitment raids into Djibouti continued during the mandate, the overall number recruited was relatively small. The FRUD-Armé leadership was also reported to have been increasingly concerned by desertions from within the ranks. Fighters were warned of imprisonment and torture if they attempted to leave the group.

Eritrean facilitation of FRUD-Armé

99. The main FRUD-Armé base is situated approximately 2 km from a battalion of the Eritrean army at Anda’ali. The base operates with the active support of the Eritrean military through the provision of supplies, including weapons, and technical and logistical support.

100. Several interviewees described receiving a consignment of weapons from the Eritrean military in January 2016, including legacy AK-pattern rifles, rocket-propelled grenades and Bren light machine guns. New recruits in 2015 and 2016 described being trained in the use of AK-pattern rifles, rocket-propelled grenades, PK machine guns and grenades.

101. Eritrean military medical personnel attended to ill FRUD-Armé fighters at Anda’ali, with those needing more complex treatment transferred to the Duhtoum military hospital at Assab.

102. Since 2015, FRUD-Armé fighters have mostly been trained by senior members of the group, not by the Eritrean military. However, according to the former

49 Four of the former fighters were recruited as children and three were Ethiopian Afar migrants who had come to seek work in Djibouti.

50 A specific raid was organized to capture two deserters in May 2017.

51 According to one interviewee, the Eritrean military provided 100 sacks of red lentils, 200 sacks of maize flour, 2 jerry cans of cooking oil, 100 kg of onions and 4 cartons of red peppers each month. The group also acquired its own provisions, including livestock, through cross-border raids on villages between Sidiha-Menguela and Weima in northern Djibouti.

52 Prior to the centralization of FRUD-Armé operations at Anda’ali, FRUD-Armé personnel received direct training from Eritrean military personnel at Wea and Ine camps.
fighters, when visiting Anda’ali in March 2016, Mohamed Kaddamy was accompanied by two Eritrean military officers.

**FRUD-Armé activities in Djibouti**

103. According to the testimonies gathered by the Monitoring Group, support provided by Eritrea to FRUD-Armé facilitated the planning and execution of a series of low-level attacks on civilian and military targets in Djibouti throughout 2016, intensifying prior to the general elections held in Djibouti in April 2016. The Group collected corroborating information on eight attacks attributed to FRUD-Armé over the course of the previous mandate, including confirmation that the February 2016 Lac Assal Gendarmérie attack was planned and executed from Anda’ali (see S/2016/920, para. 75).

104. During 2017, the Monitoring Group documented further armed activity involving FRUD-Armé in Djibouti, including recruitment campaigns, the abduction of civilians, provisioning raids and military encounters with Djiboutian and Ethiopian security forces.

105. In February 2017, a unit of FRUD-Armé fighters was engaged by Ethiopian troops in heavy fighting for several hours near Siyyarou. Another encounter with Djiboutian forces on 9 June 2017 resulted in the death of at least one FRUD-Armé fighter and the capture of another.

106. On 12 April 2017, two civilians were injured, two vehicles were destroyed and telephones and a GPS system were stolen during an attack by armed fighters at an improvised roadblock on the Tadjourah road at Oulaliss Douloul, 18 km east of Tadjourah. Responsibility for the attack is still being assessed by the Monitoring Group, although the modus operandi and other elements of the internal response of FRUD-Armé to the incident suggest that its fighters were involved.

107. Interviewees described regular movements of FRUD-Armé fighters carrying weapons back and forth across the Djibouti-Eritrea border prior to and during the mandate. Eritrea also permitted the movement of camel caravans carrying weapons, ammunition and rations from Eritrea to support activities in Djibouti. One of these weapons transfers in January 2015 involved the provision of materiel that had been initially confiscated by Eritrea during its rift with FRUD-Armé in 2011. Testimony by former Eritrean military conscripts interviewed by the Monitoring Group — including on the conduct of Eritrean reconnaissance missions as far as 13 km into Djibouti — further indicate that Eritrea had knowledge of these movements.

---

53 On 27 June 2017, for example, the group abducted a corporal in the Djiboutian armed forces, Gouled Mahamoud, and a doctor, Mohamed Housein Hamadou, at Dalaia and subsequently forced them to appear in a series of videos on social media in July.

54 They were probably mistaken for the Eritrean military owing to the size of their contingent and the fact that some wore Eritrean army uniforms. Djiboutian armed forces operations against FRUD-Armé were reported in May in Ripta and Hamoukalleh and again in June.

55 The Djiboutian armed forces provided photographs of the dead fighter to the Monitoring Group, which are on file with the Secretariat.

56 The Monitoring Group reviewed pictures of the attack that were provided by the Djiboutian authorities immediately after the event, on 13 April 2017. The Group also reviewed the medical certificates of two civilians who were injured in the attack and their testimony to the judicial police.

57 Two interviewees described how they escaped with their weapons and ammunition, which they rendered to the Djiboutian authorities.

58 The Monitoring Group documented five specific movements of supplies, including food and weapons and ammunition, between Anda’ali camp and locations in northern Djibouti between January 2015 and October 2016.

59 According to interviewees, in 2016 Eritrean intelligence units were based at Dad’atto, Sidiha-Menguela, where FRUD-Armé had a sub-base, and Bissidoirou on the Djibouti-Eritrea border.
IV. Acts obstructing implementation of resolution 1862 (2009)

108. Between 10 and 13 June 2008, fighting erupted between Djiboutian and Eritrean armed forces over control of the Ras Doumeira peninsula and adjacent territory. The Security Council immediately called upon the parties to commit to a ceasefire, withdraw their respective forces and engage in diplomatic efforts to resolve the matter peacefully (see S/PRST/2008/20). By late December 2009, however, Eritrean forces were still present in the disputed territory.

109. In paragraph 15 (c) of resolution 1907 (2009), the Security Council imposed targeted measures, declaring that it would take action against individuals and entities obstructing the implementation of resolution 1862 (2009). In resolution 1862 (2009), the Council had directed Eritrea to withdraw its forces and equipment to the position of the status quo ante and ensure that no military presence or activity was pursued in the area where the conflict had occurred. Further to the agreement between Djibouti and Eritrea, brokered by Qatar on 6 June 2010 (see S/2010/291), Eritrea withdrew its troops and a Qatari observation force was deployed along the border of the disputed area in Ras Doumeira. In resolution 1907 (2009) and subsequent resolutions (most recently resolution 2317 (2016)), the Council also demanded that Eritrea make available information pertaining to Djiboutian combatants missing in action since the clashes of June 2008.

A. Recent activity in Ras Doumeira

110. On 13 June 2017, following the decision by Djibouti to downgrade its diplomatic relations with Qatar on 7 June 2017, Qatar withdrew its observer forces deployed on the Djibouti side of the border. At the time, it was unclear whether Qatar had also withdrawn its troops from the Eritrean side of the border.60

111. In an official document reviewed by the Monitoring Group, Qatar stated that it had withdrawn its forces only from the Djiboutian side of the border. In a public statement on 14 June, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Qatar further announced that Qatar “had withdrawn all its troops deployed on the borderline in the Djiboutian territory”.61 On 15 June, Djibouti wrote to the Council to advise that Qatar had withdrawn its interposition forces on 13 June.62 On 17 June, in a statement issued by the Ministry of Information of Eritrea, Eritrea noted that it was “not privy to, and had not to date obtained any information on the withdrawal from the party concerned: that is the State of Qatar”.63 On 3 July 2017, the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Djibouti, Mahamoud Ali Youssouf, claimed in an interview with Reuters that Eritrean troops had entered the disputed areas, “[b]ut then they pulled back”.64

---

60 Djiboutian authorities informed the Monitoring Group that, on 13 June, they observed seven vehicles entering the disputed zone and arriving at the Qatari observation post. On 22 September, Djibouti provided the Group with imagery captured on the morning of 13 June, indicating movement at the post. The Group was, however, unable to draw any conclusions due to the poor quality of the imagery.


112. Given the lack of clarity, the African Union deployed a fact-finding mission, which travelled to Djibouti in late June.65 On 9 July, the African Union Commission announced that a planned visit to Eritrea by the Commissioner for Peace and Security had been postponed “at the request of the Eritrean authorities and due to a conflicting calendar”.66 At the time of writing, the African Union mission findings had yet to be published.67

113. On 22 August, Qatar informed the Monitoring Group that its forces had withdrawn from the Eritrean and Djiboutian sides of the disputed area on 13 June.68 Qatar also confirmed that the positions of the parties at the time of the withdrawal were as follows: Eritrean forces were approximately 4 km away from Doumeira Mountain at Rahayta and Djiboutian forces were in the area of Moulhoule, located approximately 20 km from the border. The Group was unable to independently verify this information.

114. In order to assess the disposition of Djiboutian, Eritrean and Qatari forces in the disputed and immediately adjacent areas, the Monitoring Group sought permission from both Djibouti and Eritrea to visit the disputed area from their respective territories. At the time of writing, the Group had not received a response from Eritrea. The Djiboutian authorities indicated, in person,69 in official correspondence70 and in an official press release,71 their willingness to facilitate a visit. At the time of writing, the visit had not materialized: logistical information required for the visit was provided by Djibouti on 4 September, too late to conduct a mission prior to the submission of the present report.

115. Satellite imagery analysed by the Monitoring Group confirmed continuing activity in the disputed zone between 19 June and 23 July 2017, which included the presence and movement of what appeared to be three small patrol vessels and five large transport vehicles or trucks. Further low-level activity — the movement of three vessels and one truck — was also apparent between 23 July and 9 September 2017 (see annex 11). The Group was unable to determine by whom these vessels and vehicles were controlled and in what activities they were engaged.

116. At the time of the submission of the present report, the situation on and around the border, and the status of the mediation role of Qatar, remained unclear. Eritrea continued to assert that it had not been informed by either of the other parties that the agreement of 6 June 2010 had been terminated.72 In an official document reviewed by the Group, Qatar did not mention withdrawing from the mediation

---

67 At the time of writing, the Monitoring Group had not received a reply from the African Union to its correspondence (S/AC.29/2017/SEMG/OC.116) regarding the outcome of the visit.
68 Letter dated 22 August from the Permanent Mission of Qatar to the United Nations addressed to the Coordinator of the Monitoring Group on Somalia and Eritrea.
69 Meetings and communications between the Monitoring Group and Djiboutian authorities between June and August 2017.
70 Letter dated 19 June 2017 from the Permanent Representative of Djibouti to the United Nations addressed to the Monitoring Group.
72 Videoconference between the Chair of the Committee, the Monitoring Group and the Permanent Mission of Eritrea to the United Nations, 11 September 2017.
process but did claim to have “kept the peacekeeping forces on the Eritrean side in line with its continued commitment to seek a peaceful resolution to this dispute”. Nevertheless, Qatar did inform Djibouti on 12 June that it intended to inform the Secretary-General of its decision to end its mediation role. A copy of this letter was forwarded to the Security Council by Djibouti on 15 June. According to the most recent information obtained by the Group, however, no such communication has been sent to the Secretary-General.

117. The Monitoring Group remains concerned that, in the absence of a clear mediation framework, progress towards settlement of the outstanding issues between Djibouti and Eritrea is in stasis and the situation on the ground is vulnerable to manipulation by spoilers. The lack of clarity on the venue for discussions also undermines the ability of Eritrea to comply with the directions of the Security Council to engage in “diplomatic efforts leading to a mutually acceptable settlement of the border issue” (resolution 1862 (2009), para. 5 (ii)).

B. Djiboutian combatants missing in action since the clashes of 10 to 12 June 2008

118. During the conflict between Djibouti and Eritrea in June 2008, combatants were captured by both sides. According to the Government of Djibouti, 30 Djiboutian soldiers were killed, 39 were injured, 49 were handicapped and 19 were reported missing in action, presumed to have been taken as prisoners of war (S/2011/433, para. 428). Djibouti also acknowledged that it had seized 17 Eritrean prisoners of war during the conflict.

119. In paragraph 4 of resolution 1907 (2009), the Security Council demanded that, “Eritrea make available information pertaining to Djiboutian combatants missing in action since the clashes of 10 to 12 June 2008 so that those concerned may ascertain the presence and condition of Djiboutian prisoners of war”.

120. According to article 3 of the executive document of the agreement of 6 June 2010 between Eritrea and Djibouti: “Each party shall provide the State of Qatar with a list containing the number and names of POWs detained by it, if any, and also a list containing the number and names of missing persons. The exchange of POWs between the two countries shall be made, and each Party shall investigate the fate of missing persons. All this shall be done under the supervision of the State of Qatar.”

121. Eritrea has maintained that the issue of the prisoners of war “is part and parcel of the seven-point comprehensive Agreement” and therefore a matter solely for the Qatari mediation team. In paragraph 33 of resolution 2317 (2016), however, the Council stressed its demand that information on the missing combatants be made available by Eritrea, including to the Monitoring Group.

---

73 Communication from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Qatar to the Embassy of the Republic of Djibouti in Doha.
74 Letter dated 14 June 2017 from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation of Djibouti to the President of the Security Council, transmitted by the Permanent Representative of Djibouti in a letter dated 15 June 2017. On file with the Secretariat.
75 Djibouti provided an updated list to the Monitoring Group of its former prisoners of war and its remaining missing combatants relative to the June 2008 conflict, including details of rank, regiment and current status. On file with the Secretariat.
77 See, for example, S/2015/802, para. 105; and a letter dated 17 April 2015 from Eritrea to the Committee.
122. Despite entering into the negotiations mediated by Qatar, Eritrea initially refused to acknowledge the existence of the missing Djiboutian combatants. In September 2011, however, two Djiboutian prisoners of war being held in Eritrea managed to escape. In March 2016, with the assistance of Qatari mediation, four prisoners of a group of seven who had been imprisoned at Shabay-Mandar in the Northern Red Sea region, were released by Eritrea.\(^{78}\) The returnees were unable to provide any information to the Monitoring Group on any remaining prisoners.

123. In written correspondence to the Monitoring Group in August 2016, Eritrea subsequently asserted that the seventh prisoner had died and that there were no more prisoners of war on its territory (see \textit{S}/2016/920, annex 1.7). In February 2017, however, Djibouti informed the Group that it had received indications from a Member State that some of the missing Djiboutian combatants might still be alive in Eritrea. The Group was unable to verify this information.

\textbf{Status of the mediation role of Qatar}

124. On 20 March 2017, the Monitoring Group met the chief mediator of Qatar, the Special Envoy of the Foreign Minister for Counterterrorism and Mediation of Conflict Resolution, Mutlaq bin Majed Al Qahtani, in Doha to discuss the issue of Djiboutian prisoners of war in Eritrea and the broader engagement of Qatar in the ongoing mediation efforts. Dr. Al Qahtani informed the Group at the time that Qatar remained engaged in negotiations between the two Member States, although he was awaiting a formal invitation to visit Asmara to discuss the issue of Djiboutian prisoners of war with representatives of the Government of Eritrea in person.

125. As noted above, however, at the time of writing, the status of the role of Qatar in the mediation between Eritrea and Djibouti was unclear.

\textbf{Eritrean prisoners of war in Djibouti}

126. In 2017, the Government of Djibouti authorized UNHCR to review the individual histories of Eritrean prisoners of war who had been held in Djibouti since the clashes of 2008 and to make recommendations with respect to their status and need for protection.\(^{79}\) If any individuals are eligible for refugee status, UNHCR will identify third countries for resettlement, which will require support from Member States.\(^{80}\)

\section*{V. Revenue from the mining sector}

127. The Monitoring Group takes note of paragraph 12 of resolution \textit{2023} (2011), in which the Security Council expressed concern at the potential use of revenue from the Eritrean mining sector to destabilize the Horn of Africa and called upon Eritrea to show transparency in its public finances in order to demonstrate that mining revenue was not being used to violate sanctions. In paragraph 13 of that resolution, the Council decided that Member States should take appropriate

\(^{78}\) For an account of the interviews conducted by the Monitoring Group with the six former prisoners of war on 30 March 2016, see \textit{S}/2016/920, paras. 105–112.

\(^{79}\) Interviews in Djibouti with international humanitarian organizations, February and April 2017; telephone interview with an official of the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), 7 September 2017.

\(^{80}\) In February 2017, the chief mediator of Qatar visited Djibouti and interviewed the Eritrean prisoners of war. He assured them that they would not be transferred anywhere without their prior approval. In March, during a visit by the Monitoring Group to Qatar, the chief mediator expressed concerns to the Group about the mental state of four of the Eritrean prisoners of war. He expressed the hope that they would be granted asylum in a “peaceful country”.

\[80\]
measures to prevent funds derived from the mining sector from being used to violate relevant resolutions, including through the issuance of due diligence guidelines, and requested the Committee, with the assistance of the Group, to draft guidelines for the optional use of Member States.

128. In its report for 2012, the Monitoring Group provided three options to the Committee to improve transparency regarding mining revenue in Eritrea: voluntary disclosure and earmarking, joint supervision, and mandatory disclosure (see S/2012/545, paras. 115–121). In its subsequent report, the Group recommended the option of joint supervision, while noting that implementation would require the voluntary cooperation of the Government of Eritrea (see S/2013/440, paras. 158–163). Meanwhile, since the adoption of resolution 2023 (2011), the Group has documented a chronic lack of transparency in the mining sector, including a lack of cooperation by the Government of Eritrea in allowing Group access to financial records and the country’s territory.  

A. Eritrean mining sector

129. Eritrean mineral deposits include copper, gold, silver, zinc and potash. Eritrea may also have oil and gas reserves, but these have not been proven. In its report for 2014, the Monitoring Group outlined the regulatory framework for mining companies in Eritrea (see S/2014/727, paras. 119–121). According to article 2 of Proclamation No. 165/2011, the Eritrean National Mining Corporation (ENAMCO) has the right to a free 10 per cent carried interest and the right to purchase an additional 40 per cent stake in mining joint ventures.

130. Two mining joint ventures, the Bisha Mining Share Company and the Zara Mining Share Company, are currently in production and another two, the Asmara Mining Share Company and the Colluli Mining Share Company, are projected to begin production during 2017 and 2018:

(a) Bisha Mining Share Company, 40 per cent owned by ENAMCO and 60 per cent owned by Nevsun Resources Ltd., operates the Bisha mine located 150 km west of Asmara, producing gold, silver, copper and zinc;

(b) Zara Mining Share Company, 40 per cent owned by ENAMCO and 60 per cent owned by the China Shanghai Group Corporation for Foreign Economic and Technological Cooperation, operates the Koka gold mine in northern Eritrea;

(c) Asmara Mining Share Company, 40 per cent owned by ENAMCO and 60 per cent owned by the Sichuan Road and Bridge Mining Investment Development Corporation Ltd., is projected to begin production of gold, copper and zinc in late 2017;

(d) Colluli Mining Share Company, 50 per cent owned by ENAMCO and 50 per cent owned by Danakali Limited, is projected to begin production of potash at its deposit 175 km south-east of Asmara in late 2018.

B. Payments to the Government

131. A lack of transparency has been a major factor in the poor governance of natural resources, with little accountability for the mining revenue received by the Government and how it is spent. According to the 2017 Resource Governance Index developed by the Natural Resource Governance Institute, a non-governmental
organization based in the United States, Eritrea ranks last among the 89 countries assessed, and ENAMCO also ranks last among the 74 State-owned enterprises in the oil and mining sectors included in the index.\(^{82}\)

132. The limited transparency that exists in the mining sector has been facilitated by the reporting of foreign corporations rather than disclosures by the Government of Eritrea. The Bisha mine has been the principal source of mining revenue since 2011. According to the financial report filed by Nevsun under the Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act of Canada (see S/2016/920, paras. 94–96), the company paid the following to the Government of Eritrea in 2016: $26.6 million in taxes, $14.9 million in royalties and $1.8 million in fees, for a total of $43.3 million to the Department of Inland Revenue; $37.6 million in fees to the Ministry of Energy and Mines for exploration rights on land adjacent to existing exploration licences; and $16 million in dividends due to ENAMCO as the owner of 40 per cent of the Bisha Mining Share Company (see annex 12). In its corporate social responsibility report for 2016, Nevsun disclosed further payments of $7.2 million in payroll taxes and $4.5 million in withholding taxes, customs and duties.\(^{83}\) The total paid by Nevsun to the Government of Eritrea in 2016 was therefore $108.6 million.

133. The Monitoring Group continues to track the developments in a civil court case, *Araya v. Nevsun Resources Ltd.*, initially filed in November 2014 in the Supreme Court of British Columbia, Canada, in which three former workers at the Bisha mine have alleged human rights violations during the construction and operation of the mine (see S/2016/920, para, 93). The Group’s interest in the case lies not in any potential human rights violations, but in any relevant financial information regarding the mining industry that it might reveal. On 6 October 2016, the Supreme Court of British Columbia issued a judgment allowing the case to proceed, which has been appealed by Nevsun. Since the judgment of October 2016, the three initial plaintiffs have been joined by more than 50 others. A hearing at the Court of Appeal of British Columbia has been scheduled for 25 to 28 September 2017.

### VI. Recommendations

134. Given that the Monitoring Group has been unable to find conclusive evidence of Eritrean support for Al-Shabaab in Somalia for four consecutive mandates, the Group recommends that the Security Council consider disassociating the sanctions regimes for Eritrea and Somalia.\(^{84}\)

135. The sanctions on Eritrea and Somalia could be disassociated through one of the following technical options:

(a) The establishment of a separate committee concerning Eritrea and a separate monitoring group on Eritrea (two committees, two monitoring groups);

---

\(^{82}\) The Resource Governance Index assesses three components — value realization, enabling environment, and revenue management — by examining 14 underlying factors.


\(^{84}\) The Monitoring Group notes that there is a precedent for the disassociation of United Nations sanctions. On 17 June 2011, with the adoption of resolutions 1988 (2011) and 1989 (2011), the Security Council split the consolidated list of individuals and entities subject to sanctions measures originally imposed under resolutions 1267 (1999) and 1333 (2000), in order to have separate lists for individuals, groups, undertakings and entities associated with Al-Qaida and for those associated with the Taliban. A separate committee was thus established pursuant to resolution 1988 (2011), while a single monitoring team continued to support both committees.
(b) The establishment of a separate committee concerning Eritrea, with the Monitoring Group as currently configured supporting both committees (two committees, one monitoring group);

(c) The establishment of a separate monitoring group on Eritrea reporting to the current Committee (one committee, two monitoring groups).

136. The Monitoring Group recommends the first option of establishing a separate committee concerning Eritrea and a separate monitoring group on Eritrea. With a narrower focus for each group of sanctions experts, this configuration could facilitate more efficient monitoring and reporting on both Eritrea and Somalia. It would also assist the Security Council in its decision-making regarding sanctions measures imposed on the two Member States independently of one another.
Annex 1: Interdiction of shipment of blank-firing pistols in Kismayo, Somalia

Figure 1: SJ African docked at the Port of Kismayo.

Figure 2: Container found to be carrying blank firing pistols.

Figure 3: Ekol Tuna 8mm blank firing pistol.

Figure 4: Ekol P29 9mm blank firing pistol.
Figure 5: Voltran packing list.

Figure 6: Voltran receipt.
Figure 7: Voltran export loading instructions.

Figure 8: African Express Cargo Manifest.
Seizures of blank-firing pistols by authorities in Somalia and other countries subject to UN arms embargoes


2. In 2016, the Panel of Experts on Libya pursuant to resolution 1973 (2011) referred to the continued transfer of hunting rifles, cartridges, rubber bullets as well as blank-firing pistols from Turkey to Libya. In 2013, the Permanent Mission of Turkey contacted the Security Council Committee established pursuant to resolution 1970 (2011) concerning Libya to request guidance on this issue. The Committee confirmed that “this type of materiel is subject to the embargo,” (see S/2016/209, annex 35).

3. On 20 March 2017, a Kingdom of Saudi Arabia media outlet published an article a seizure of weapons, which, based on a visual analysis, appear to be EKOL ASI 9mm blank-fire sub machine guns.1 At the time of writing, the Panel of Experts on Yemen pursuant to resolution 2140 (2014) were investigating whether the weapons were being smuggled into Yemen in violation of the targeted arms embargo, as specified in paragraph 14 of Security Council resolution 2216 (2015).

---

Annex 1.1: Conversion of blank-firing pistols*

* The annex has not been reproduced in the present document because it is strictly confidential.
Annex 2: Interdiction of military radios from the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea

Figure 1: Air waybill for a shipment of military radios intended for Eritrea.

Figure 2: Air waybill for a shipment of military radios intended for Eritrea.
Figure 3: Radio advertised on Glocom website (https://glocom-corp.com).

GR-150 HF Tactical Manpack/Mobile Radio
Frequency Hopping, Digital Secure EPM
Software Defined Radio

[Key features]
- 1.8-30MHz
- CW/receive/data/SMS
- Digital frequency hopping
- MELP1000
- AES256 digital encryption
- 20W RF power
- 3G ALE
- NVIS antenna compatible
- VHF-HF relay function
- Remote control function (2Km)
- Wireless fit-gun (OTAR)
- Built-in GPS
- Advanced calling modes

Figure 4: Photograph of Glocom radio intended for Eritrea.
Annex 3: Zlín Avion pilot training and support in Asmara*

* The annex has not been reproduced in the present document because it is strictly confidential.
Annex 4: Eritrean pilot and naval training in the United Arab Emirates

Figure 1: Redacted UAE residence visa.

Figure 2: Redacted certificate issued by the Khalifa Bin Zayed Air College.
Figure 3: Redacted certificate issued by the Rashid Bin Saeed Al Maktoum Naval College.

Figure 4: Cadets upon completion of training holding an Eritrean flag in front of a UAE Air Force Boeing C-17A Globemaster III (redacted).
Annex 5: Agusta Bell helicopter overhauls in Italy

Figures 1 and 2: Contract between Airgreen and Yonas Tesfai representing the Ministry of Transport and Communications.
Figure 3: Civil Aviation Authority Certificate of Registration.

Figure 4: Letter from the Eritrean Ministry of Foreign Affairs to the Embassy of the Republic of Italy in Asmara.
Figure 5: Image of helicopters upon arrival at Airgreen service centre.

Figure 6: Image of helicopters following completion of overhaul.
Figure 7: Satellite imagery of helicopters on Asmara airport military apron, 24 October 2016.
Annex 6: Mi-17 helicopter overhaul in Russian Federation

Figure 1: Satellite imagery of helicopter on Asmara airport military apron, 17 June 2017.

Figure 2: Satellite imagery of helicopter at Sawa Military Academy, 9 September 2016.
Annex 7: Expansion of the UAE military bases in Assab, Eritrea

Figure 1: Satellite imagery of Assab military base, 14 September 2017.

Figure 2: Satellite imagery of permanent port facility at Assab military base, 14 September 2017.
Figure 3: Satellite imagery of UAE vessels at Assab Port, 14 March 2017.
Annex 8: Armed group training areas in Eritrea

Figure 1: Map of Harena training area.
Figure 2: Map of northern Gash-Barka region training areas.
Figure 3: Map of Eritrea-Djibouti border region.
Annex 9: Patriotic Ginbot Sebat (PG7)

Figure 1: Still from video footage of PG7 fighters preparing to cross Ethiopian border — PSL 7.62 x 54mm sniper rifle with green/yellow/red strap.

Figure 2: Still from video footage of PG7 fighters preparing to cross Ethiopian border — Eritrean troops accompanying.
Figures 3 and 4: PSL 7.62 x 54mm sniper rifle manufactured in Romania, with green/yellow/red strap.

Figures 5 and 6: Export document for of PSL 7.62 x 54mm sniper rifle.
Figures 7 and 8: Type 69 40mm HEAT rocket-propelled grenade rounds captured from PG7 fighters bearing lot numbers 2-92-73 and 7-91-93.

Figures 9 and 10: Type 69 40mm HEAT rocket-propelled grenade rounds recovered from the ONLF in September 2010 bearing lot numbers 2-92-73 and 7-91-93.

Figure 11: Type 69 40mm HEAT rounds bearing lot number 2-92-73, documented in Jonglei state, South Sudan, in September 2012 (Photograph courtesy of Conflict Armament Research).
Figures 12 and 13: Type 56-1 Kalashnikov pattern rifles captured from PG7 fighters with their serial numbers filed off the receivers.

Figure 14 and 15: Type 56-1 Kalashnikov pattern rifles captured from South Sudan Democratic Army fighters with their serial numbers filed off the receivers.

Figure 16: Still image from PG7 training footage in Harena training area
Annex 10: Benishangul People’s Liberation Movement (BPLM)

Figure 1: Image of Eritrean vehicle brought to Ethiopia by BPLM fighters.

Figures 2 and 3: Eritrean Land Transport Authority Non-Commercial Vehicle Title Certificate.
Figure 4: Images of BPLM fighters on their return to Ethiopia.

Figures 5 and 6: Abdulwahab Mehadi Issa Eritrean identity card.
Annex 11: Ras Doumeira

Figure 1: Satellite imagery of Ras Doumeira observer camp, 19 June 2017.

Figure 2: Satellite imagery of Ras Doumeira observer camp, 23 July 2017.
Figure 3: Satellite imagery of Ras Doumeira observer camp, 22 August 2017.

Figure 4: Satellite imagery of Ras Doumeira observer camp, 9 September 2017.
Annex 12: Revenue from the mining sector

**Figure 1:** Nevsun Resources Ltd.’s 2016 ESTMA report (by payee).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Payee Note</th>
<th>Taxes</th>
<th>Royalties</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Production Establishment Expenses</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Dividends</th>
<th>Infrastructure Improvement Payments</th>
<th>Total Amount Paid</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Government of Zambia – Mines and Energy</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $1,526</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ 17,003</td>
<td>Reporting currency: USD (Note 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Nevsun Resources Ltd.</td>
<td>S $2,415</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ 10,000</td>
<td>S $ 10,000</td>
<td>Reporting currency: USD (Note 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The ZCCM (Zambia Chamber of Commerce and Mines) is the reporting entity for this payee. Amounts are reported in USD.

Note 2: All amounts in USD are Nevsun Resources Ltd.’s reporting currency.

**Figure 2:** Nevsun Resources Ltd.’s 2016 ESTMA report (by project).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Taxes</th>
<th>Royalties</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Production Establishment Expenses</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Dividends</th>
<th>Infrastructure Improvement Payments</th>
<th>Total Amount Paid</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>amps mine (excluding mining company)</td>
<td>S $30,000</td>
<td>S $10,050</td>
<td>S $1,771</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ -</td>
<td>S $ 83,128</td>
<td>Reporting currency: USD (Note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The Company has reported all dividends paid to ZCCM, amount of 80% paid to the ZCCM. The remaining 20% was paid to the ZCCM. Amounts are reported in USD.